

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

ROSY GIRON DE REYES, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WAPLES MOBILE HOME PARK
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil No.: 1:16cv563-TSE-TCB

PROPOSED ORDER

UPON CONSIDERATION of Defendants Waples Mobile Home Park Limited Partnership, Waples Project Limited Partnership, and A. J. Dwoskin & Associates, Inc.’s (collectively “Defendants”) Motion to Seal and Local Civil Rule 5, and having seen no opposition filed to Defendants’ Motion to Seal, it is hereby

ORDERED that Defendants’ Motion to Seal is GRANTED.

Before this Court may seal documents, it must: “(1) provide public notice of the request to seal and allow interested parties a reasonable opportunity to object, (2) consider less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents, and (3) provide specific reasons and factual findings supporting its decision to seal the documents and for rejecting the alternatives.” *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000) (internal citations omitted). In compliance with Local Rule 5 and *Ashcraft*, Defendants filed a public Notice of Filing of Defendants’ Motion to Seal (Dkt. 149) and the Court has posted this notice on the Court’s public docket. A brief description of the content (“Proposed Sealed Documents”) that Defendants move to seal is as follows:

- 1) Plaintiffs' confidential deposition transcripts, which are attached as Exhibit B to Defendants' Rule 26 Disclosures.

UPON CONSIDERATION of Defendants' Motion to Seal, Local Civil Rule 5, and having seen no opposition filed to Defendants' Motion to Seal, the Court hereby

FINDS that less drastic alternatives to sealing the Proposed Sealed Documents are not feasible. Further, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Proposed Sealed Documents shall be maintained under seal by the Clerk, until otherwise directed.

ENTERED this ____ day of February, 2017.

The Honorable Theresa Carroll Buchanan
U.S. District Court for the
Eastern District of Virginia